



**APPROACHES TO EVIDENCE FOR SOCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY.
REALIST EVALUATION:
WHAT IS IT AND WHAT DOES IT OFFER?**

**WEBINAR 2 OF SERIES ABOUT THE CITIZEN VOICE AND ACTION FOR
GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY AND IMPROVED SERVICES IN
INDONESIA PROJECT**

April 16, 2019

Questions Asked and Speaker Answers

Q&A

Participant Questions	Speakers' answers can be found at this time stamp in recording
<p>From Eric Sarriot: one critique of Realist Evaluation is the time commitment (I suppose as opposed to the 2 week, 20 meeting consultant gig. :() But seriously - how manageable is the effort of a realist evaluation in ongoing projects?</p>	<p>Gill, Elvi, Andreas 01:11:30</p>
<p>From Kate: (about slide 11) Does it need all those elements of context to generate the mechanisms, and all the mechanisms to generate the outcome? ie could you have understanding of pathways with just the top of the 3 aspects of context, and similar with the outcome?</p>	<p>Gill 01:16:38</p>
<p>From Ruchika: Is there an order of prioritization one can follow to consider these contexts? How do we counter for complexities/intersectionality within development contexts?</p>	<p>Gill 01:18:00</p>



Q&A

Participant Questions	Speakers' answers can be found at this time stamp in recording
<p>From Helena B: How do realist evaluations differ from/relate to learning/ongoing evaluations?</p>	<p>Gill 01:18:56</p>
<p>From Lucia Nass: 1. Can you say more about the costs of this [kind of evaluation]? 2. Could you say a bit more about the data analysis workshops - in my experience this yield another layer of sense-making and learning, especially if it is done with multiple stakeholders in the program.</p>	<p>1. Gill 01:20:55 and see question above. 2. Gill 01:21:30</p>
<p>From Simione: I would like to know the WV Offices that are targeted by this exercise.</p>	<p>Gill 01:22:29</p>
<p>From Marie-France: How did you develop the evaluation framework to ensure that these various methods (surveys, interviews, MSC, etc) form a cohesive evaluation rather than distinct information strands that don't build on one another?</p>	<p>Gill 01:22:56</p>



Q&A

Participant Questions	Speakers' answers can be found at this time stamp in recording
<p>From Pieterrella: was there a risk of team members who implemented prog AND evaluated it to overstate the results/improvements?</p>	<p>Gill, Andreas, Elvi 01:23:38</p>
<p>From Dina W: To what extent the RE approach allows you to go in depth into power issues? Some of these may be critical to citizen engagement and these go much beyond the health services. Is perhaps power part of the mechanism?</p>	<p>Gill 01:27:44</p>





Next Steps

- Watch the **recording** and other resources from of today's webinar. http://GPSAKP_WebApril16
- Keep discussing and ask your questions to the presenters in the **online Discussion with Experts** http://bit.ly/GPSAKP_eForum
- Next in this Webinar Series
 - Webinar 3 (July): Women's empowerment through social accountability





3-minute Survey on today's webinar

Go to PollEv.com/gpsakppoll174 and answer :

- 1. What worked well about today's webinar?**
- 2. What didn't work so well about today's webinar?**
- 3. How will you apply the lessons from today's webinar to your work?**

Thank you for your feedback!





**GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP FOR
SOCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY**
KNOWLEDGE PLATFORM

**Thank you for joining this Webinar!
We hope you enjoyed it. See you at the next one!**

**And in the mean time, visit the GPSA Knowledge Platform
and write to us!**

**<http://gpsaknowledge.org>
gpsakp@gpsaknowledge.org**